

Type Theory and Agda

BY SEAN O'CONNOR

What is Type Theory

Everything we can talk about is a term of some type

Agda can be used to formalize Homotopy Type Theory

For example, `zero-ℕ : ℕ` denotes that the term `zero-ℕ` is of type `ℕ`, the type of natural numbers.

Additionally, `zero-ℤ : ℤ` denotes that the term `zero-ℤ` is of type `ℤ`, the type of integers.

However, `zero-ℕ` and `zero-ℤ` are treated as different terms, as each term in Homotopy Type Theory can only have one type.

“Propositions as Types”

Logical propositions are types

If P is a proposition, $p : P$ says that p is a proof of P

All propositions are types, but not all types are propositions

For example, $n == m$ is a proposition whenever $n : \mathbb{N}$ and $m : \mathbb{N}$

How functions function

In type theory, if f is a function that takes as input a term of type A and produces as output a term of type B , then $f : A \rightarrow B$

$g : P \rightarrow Q$ says that g is a function that converts proofs of P into proofs of Q .
Specifically, if $p : P$, then $g\ p : Q$

The notation $\lambda x \rightarrow ?$ can be used to easily inline functions. As an example,

$\lambda n \rightarrow n + \text{one}$ is a function of type $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that adds 1

Negation in Type Theory

Not helpful to say a term isn't of some type

We define $\emptyset : \mathbf{Type}$ such that there are explicitly no terms of type \emptyset

Furthermore, we define $\neg A = A \rightarrow \emptyset$ for any type A

If we had both $a : A$ and $y : \neg A$, then $y a : \emptyset$

But there are no terms of type \emptyset , so we have a contradiction

Constructive Logic

The LEM is not assumed to be true. That is, it is not assumed that there exists a term f such that, for any type A , we have that $f A : A \vee (\neg A)$

Likewise, double negation elimination is also not assumed to be true, as it posits that for any type A , there exists a function of type $(\neg (\neg A)) \rightarrow A$

However, we can derive triple negation elimination as a theorem, which states that for any type A , there exists a function of type $(\neg (\neg (\neg A))) \rightarrow (\neg A)$

data \emptyset : Type where

\neg : (A : Type) \rightarrow Type

$\neg A = A \rightarrow \emptyset$

triple- \neg : {A : Type} $\rightarrow (\neg (\neg (\neg A))) \rightarrow (\neg A)$

triple- \neg x = ?

data \emptyset : Type where

\neg : (A : Type) \rightarrow Type

$\neg A = A \rightarrow \emptyset$

triple- \neg : {A : Type} \rightarrow (\neg (\neg (\neg A))) \rightarrow (\neg A)

triple- \neg x = ?

triple- \neg x = { }0

⌈U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \neg A

x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))

data \emptyset : Type where

\neg : (A : Type) \rightarrow Type

$\neg A = A \rightarrow \emptyset$

triple- \neg : {A : Type} \rightarrow (\neg (\neg (\neg A))) \rightarrow (\neg A)

triple- \neg x = ?

triple- \neg x = { λ a \rightarrow ? } 0

□ U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \neg A

x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))

data \emptyset : Type where

\neg : (A : Type) \rightarrow Type

\neg A = A \rightarrow \emptyset

triple- \neg : {A : Type} \rightarrow (\neg (\neg (\neg A))) \rightarrow (\neg A)

triple- \neg x = ?

triple- \neg x = λ a \rightarrow { } 1

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

a : A

x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow \{ \} 1$

$\square U^{**}$ - Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow \{x ? \} 1$

$\square U^{**}$ - Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow \{ \} 1$

$\square U^{**}$ - Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow x \{ \} 2$

$\square U^{**}$ - Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: $\neg (\neg A)$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow x$ { }²

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: $\neg (\neg A)$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow x$ { $\lambda y \rightarrow ?$ }²

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: $\neg (\neg A)$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow x$ { }2

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: $\neg (\neg A)$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- \neg $x = \lambda a \rightarrow x \lambda y \rightarrow$ { }3

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

$y : \neg A$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

`triple- \neg x = λ a \rightarrow x λ y \rightarrow { }3`

`□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)`

`Goal: \emptyset`

`y : \neg A`

`a : A`

`x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))`

`triple- \neg x = λ a \rightarrow x λ y \rightarrow {y a }3`

`□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)`

`Goal: \emptyset`

`y : \neg A`

`a : A`

`x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))`

triple- $\rightarrow x = \lambda a \rightarrow x \lambda y \rightarrow \{y a\}3$

□U**- Presentation.agda Top L1 (Agda)

Goal: \emptyset

$y : \neg A$

$a : A$

$x : \neg (\neg (\neg A))$

triple- $\rightarrow x = \lambda a \rightarrow x \lambda y \rightarrow y a$

Acknowledgements

David Jaz Myers, my advisor

The entire JHU Directed Reading Program

Thank you for listening!